

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/655,772		ZHOU ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Michael Kahelin		3762	

All Participants:

(1) Michael Kahelin.

(2) Thomas Lendvai.

Date of Interview: 5 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was made concerning the response to restriction requirement of 6/30/2006. Applicant elected all species of a subspecies instead of one species from each subspecies. During the telephone call, Applicant elected the rough surface and electroplated species.